	Application No		Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/803,725		NEUMAN, SERGE	
	Examiner	_	Art Unit	
	Keith T. Ferguse	on	2617	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):			
(1) <u>Keith T. Ferguson</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Ronald Santucci</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 31 July 2006.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applicant's	representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 5</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: none.		•		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g)⊡ was not rea	ached. h) 🗌 l	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments: Claim 5, line 3, "the m	etnod of Will be c	nangea to —tri	ie process <u>or</u> .	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attac	o copy of the ame	e examiner ag ndments that	greed would rend would render the	der the claims e claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS I FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office acti ER OF ONE MON INTERVIEW SUM	on has airead TH OR THIRT MARY FORM,	y been filed, API Y DAYS FROM , WHICHEVER I	THIS S LATER, TO
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an				
Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's sig	nature, if require	ed